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MATRIX FACTORIZATION

What is it- To decompose observed data (R rating matrix):

- User factors matrix ($H$)
- Movie factor matrix ($W$)

Solve for $W$ & $H$

$$D(R|W, H) = \frac{1}{2} \|R - WH\|_F^2 + \alpha_{l1w} \|W\| + \alpha_{l2w} \|W\|_F^2 + \lambda_{l1h} \|H\| + \lambda_{l2h} \|H\|_F^2$$
REGULARIZED ALTERNATING LEAST SQUARE (RALS)

Fixed-point RALS Algorithm: Equating gradient to zero, obtain iterative update scheme of $W, H$
- estimate $H$, given $W$
- enforce positivity (NMF)
- REPEAT until convergence

Current effort aims to introduce flexibility to impose additional constraints (e.g. bounds on variables, sparsity, etc.)
Solve $n$ independent problems:

- $\min_{h_i \geq 0} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \|r_i - Wh_i\|_2^2$
- Aggregated solutions: $H = [h_1, h_2, h_3, \ldots, h_n]$

Our contribution: given $W$, we compute cluster possibilities ($H$) using a QP solver in Spark Mlib. (Note: This is inner loop)
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QP TO ADMM/SOCP

- ADMM: solves by decomposing a hard problem into simpler yet efficiently solvable sub-problems and let them achieve consensus.
- ECOS: solves a specific class of problems that can be formulated as a second-order cone program (SOCP) using primal-dual interior-point method.
QP TO ADMM/SOCP

- Use: Our preliminary investigation shows that ADMM solves certain class of problems (e.g. bounds, l1 minimization) much faster than ECOS while the later proves effective in handling relatively complicated constraints (e.g. equality constraints).
QP: ADMM FORMULATION

Objective

\[ f(h) : 0.5\|r - Wh\|_2^2 \Rightarrow 0.5h^T(WW^T)h - (r^T W)h \]

Constraints \( g(z) : z \geq 0 \)

ADMM formulation \( f(h) + g(z) \)

\[ \text{s.t } h = z \]

ADMM Steps

- \( h^{k+1} = \arg\min_h f(h + 0.5 \times \rho \|h - z^k + u^k\|_2^2) \)
- \( z^{k+1} = h^{k+1} + u^k \text{ s.t. } z^{k+1} \in g(z) \)
- \( u^{k+1} = u^k + h_{k+1} - z_{k+1} \)
QP: ADMM IMPLEMENTATION(I)

```scala
class DirectQpSolver(nGram: Int,
                    lb: Option[DoubleMatrix] = None, ub: Option[DoubleMatrix] = None,
                    Aeq: Option[DoubleMatrix] = None,
                    alpha: Double = 0.0, rho: Double = 0.0,
                    addEqualityToGram: Boolean = false) = {

  def solve(H: DoubleMatrix, q: DoubleMatrix,
            beq: Option[DoubleMatrix] = None): DoubleMatrix = {
    wsH = H + rho*I
    solve(q, beq)
  }

  def solve(q: DoubleMatrix, beq: Option[DoubleMatrix]): DoubleMatrix = {
    //Dense cholesky factorization
    val R = Decompose.cholesky(wsH)
    ADMM(R)
  }
```
def ADMM(R: DoubleMatrix): DoubleMatrix = {
    rho = 1.0
    alpha = 1.0
    while (k ≤ MAX_ITERS) {
        scale = rho*(z - u) - q
        // x = R \ (R' \ scale)
        solveTriangular(R, scale)
        //z-update with relaxation
        zold = (1-alpha)*z
        x_hat = alpha*x + zold
        z = xHat + u

        Proximal(z)
        if(converged(x, z, u)) return x
        k = k + 1
    }
}
QP: SOCP FORMULATION

Objective transformation minimize $t$

$$\text{s.t } 0.5h^T (WW^T)h - (r^T W)h \leq t$$

Constraints $h \geq 0$

$$A_{eq} \times h = B_{eq}$$

$$A \times h \leq B$$

Quadratic constraint transformation

$$\left| \begin{array}{c} Q_{chol} \\ c \end{array} \right| \leq d$$
class QpSolver(nGram: Int, nLinear: Int = 0, diagonal: Boolean = false,
    Equalities: Option[CSCMatrix[Double]] = None,
    Inequalities: Option[CSCMatrix[Double]] = None,
    lbFlag: Boolean = false, ubFlag: Boolean = false) = {

    NativeECOS.loadLibraryAndCheckErrors()

    def solve(H: DoubleMatrix, f: Array[Double]): (Int, Array[Double]) = {
        updateHessian(H)
        updateLinearObjective(f)
        val status = NativeECOS.solveSocp(c, G, h, Aeq, beq, linear, cones, x)
        (status, x.slice(0, n))
    }
}
### USE CASE: POSITIVITY

Application: Image feature extraction / energy spectrum where negative coefficients or factors are counter intuitive

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OCTAVE</th>
<th>ALS</th>
<th>ECOS</th>
<th>ADMM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Negative Coefficients:</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSE:</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>2.3e-2</td>
<td>3e-4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* A test to compute Negative Coefficients (< -1e-4) & RMSE
USE CASE: POSITIVITY

//Spark Driver
val lb = DoubleMatrix.zeros(rank, 1)
val ub = DoubleMatrix.zeros(rank, 1).add(1.0)
val directQpSolver = new DirectQpSolver(rank, Some(lb), Some(ub)).setProximal

val factors = Array.range(0, numUsers).map { index =>
  // Compute the full Xtx matrix from the lower-triangular part we got above
  fillFullMatrix(userXtx(index), fullXtx)
  val H = fullXtx.add(YtY.getValue)
  val f = userXy(index).mul(-1)
  val directQpResult = directQpSolver.solve(H, f)
  directQpResult
}
USE CASE: POSITIVITY

//DirectOpSolver Projection Operator
def projectBox(z: Array[Double], l: Array[Double], u: Array[Double]) {
    for (i <- 0 until z.length) z.update(i, max(l(i), min(x(i), u(i)))))
}
USE CASE: SPARSITY

Application: signal recovery problems in which the original signal is known to have a sparse representation

A test to compute Non-Sparse Coefficients (> 1e-4) & RMSE

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>OCTAVE</th>
<th>ALS</th>
<th>ECOS</th>
<th>ADMM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-Sparse Coefficients:</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RMSE:</td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>9e-2</td>
<td>2e-2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
USE CASE: SPARSITY

```scala
//Spark Driver
val directQpSolverL1 = new DirectQpSolver(rank).setProximal(ProximalL1)
directQpSolverL1.setLambda(lambdaL1)

val factors = Array.range(0, numUsers).map { index =>
  // Compute the full X^T X matrix from the lower-triangular part we got above
  fillFullMatrix(userXtX(index), fullXtX)
  val H = fullXtX.add(YtY.get.value)
  val f = userXy(index).mul(-1)
  val directQpL1Result = directQpSolverL1.solve(H, f)
directQpL1Result
}
```
USE CASE: SPARSITY

```scala
// Direct Qp Solver Proximal Operator
def proximalL1(z: Array[Double], scale: Double) {
  for (i <- 0 until z.length) {
    z.update(i, max(0, z(i) - scale) - max(0, -z(i) - scale))
  }
}
```
## USE CASE: EQUALITY WITH BOUND

Application: Support Vector Machines based models with sparse weight representation or portfolio optimization

A test to compute *Sum*, *Non-Sparse Coefficients* (> 1e-4) & *RMSE*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>OCTAVE</th>
<th>ALS</th>
<th>ECOS</th>
<th>ADMM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sum of Coefficients:</strong></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Non-Sparse Coefficients:</strong></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>RMSE:</strong></td>
<td>N.A.</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>2e-4</td>
<td>5.5e-5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
USE CASE: EQUALITY WITH BOUNDS

```scala
// Equality constraint x1 + x2 + ... + xr = 1
// Bound constraint is 0 ≤ x ≤ 1
val equalityBuilder = new CSCMatrix.Builder[Double](1, rank)
for (i <- until rank) equalityBuilder.add(0, i, 1)
val qpSolverEquality =
    new QpSolver(rank, 0, false,
                 Some(equalityBuilder.result), None, true, true)
qpSolverEquality.updateUb(Array.fill[Double](rank)(1.0))
qpSolverEquality.updateEquality(Array[Double](1.0))
```
USE CASE: EQUALITY WITH BOUNDS

```scala
val factors = Array.range(0, numUsers).map { index =>
    fillFullMatrix(userXtX(index), fullXtX)
val H = fullXtX.add(YtY.get.value)
val f = userXy(index).mul(-1)
val qpEqualityResult = qpSolverEquality.solve(H, f)
qpEqualityResult
}
```
## Runtime Experiments (in ms)

**Dataset:** Movielens 1M ratings from 6040 users on 3706 movies

**Example run**

```
MASTER=local[1] run-example mllib.MovieLensALS --rank 25 --numIterations 1 --kryo --qpProblem 1 ratings.dat
```

### Algorithms variants

Quadratic Minimization (QP), with Positivity (QpPos), bounds (QpBounds), Sparsity (QpL1), Equality and Bounds (QpEquality)

1 ALS iteration: \( (userUpdate) + (movieUpdate) \)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>LS</th>
<th>ECOS</th>
<th>ADMM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Qp</td>
<td>(30)+(57)</td>
<td>(3826)+(6943)</td>
<td>(99)+(143)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QpPos</td>
<td>(98)+(320)</td>
<td>(6288)+(11975)</td>
<td>(265)+(2135)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QpBounds</td>
<td>(39)+(55)</td>
<td>(6709)+(11951)</td>
<td>(1556)+(1329)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QpL1</td>
<td>(54)+(80)</td>
<td>(32171)+(58766)</td>
<td>(352)+(1593)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QpEquality</td>
<td>(63)+(133)</td>
<td>(5231)+(7912)</td>
<td>(14681)+(65893)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
FUTURE WORK

- Release QpSolver-Spark after rigorous testing
- Runtime optimizations for QpSolver-Spark integration
- Matrix Factorization using Gram Matrix broadcast
- Release ECOS based LP and SOCP solvers based on community feedback
- BFGS/CG based IterativeQpSolver for large ranks with application to Kernel-SVMs
- QpSolver-Spark applications to Verizon datasets
QUESTIONS
JOIN US AND MAKE MACHINES SMARTER
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